EVALUATION CRITERIA

The 8th **SUMP** Award rewards local authorities that show excellence in sustainable urban mobility planning with regard to developing and promoting walking and cycling and making these modes safe.

Criteria	Max points	Question
Background and policy objectives	-	Please describe the main challenges your town/city is facing in relation to urban transport, the history of your local transport policy, and its objectives.
SUMP preparation and implementation	-	Your city is currently working on its: - 1st SUMP - 2nd (or more) SUMP Which phase of the SUMP process is your city in? - Preparation phase - Adoption phase - Implementation phase - Monitoring phase Please describe your SUMP targets, which phase the plan is in (preparation, goal setting, elaboration, implementation), and what the expected results of the plan are.
Executive summary: Excellence	-	Please, describe why your town/city is an outstanding example for developing safe walking and cycling in Sustainable Urban Mobility Planning. Please, mention key field(s), approach(es) or measure(s) that make your town/city particularly successful. *This is an executive summary. Please, only mention here the main points. You can further elaborate on each point in the subsequent corresponding question.*
Active mobility targets to reach the objectives of the SUMP	5	Q1. Please describe how walking and cycling help achieve the objectives of your SUMP. What goals and indicators (i.e. modal share) of walking and cycling do you target? Please indicate your city's current and target indicator (i.e. modal split), and explain why these indicators and values have been chosen.
The city has a consistent and realistic strategy to further develop **walking**.	5	Q2. Does your SUMP include a strategy or plan to develop walking? If so, please describe your objectives and give example(s) of the most important measure(s) to achieve this goal as well as results if implemented (including, for example, infrastructure, integration with public transport and other modes, etc.)

Walk with us!
#**mobility**week

The city has a consistent and realistic strategy to further develop **cycling**.	5	Q3. Does your SUMP include a strategy or plan to develop cycling? If so, please describe your objectives and give example(s) of the most important measure(s) to achieve this goal as well as results if implemented (including, for example, infrastructure, sharing schemes, multimodal hubs, movement of goods, etc.)
The city takes action to improve **road safety for pedestrian and cyclists** whilst aiming at a sustainable transport system	5	Q4. Does your SUMP include or refer to a strategy or plan to improve the safety of pedestrians and cyclists? If so, please describe your objectives and give example(s) of the most important measure(s) to achieve the goals. Please share the results (if applicable).
Cooperation with higher/lower **governance levels** and **related sectors**	5	Q5. Within the framework of its SUMP, does your city work with other government layers or related sectors and initiatives when planning for safer walking and cycling (for example national, regional or neighbourhood authorities, public transport operators, new mobility service providers, road safety managers, project EDWARD, etc.)? Please describe with whom you cooperate and give example(s) of how you do this and what benefit the cooperation has produced.

Scoring system:

0 points	Does not meet requirements at all
1 points	Poorly meets requirements
2 points	Almost meets requirements
3 points	Meets requirements
4 points	Meets requirements with excellence
5 points	Meets requirements with excellence and even exceeds them